

Considering admixture when producing draft genomes: an example in North American ratsnakes (*Pantherophis alleghaniensis*/*Pantherophis* obsoletus)

Frank T. Burbrink,^{1,*} Sean M. Harrington,^{1,2} Dean Bobo,³ Edward A. Myers^{1,4}

¹Department of Herpetology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY 10024, USA

²INBRE Data Science Core, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82071, USA

³Institute for Comparative Genomics, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY 10024, USA

⁴Department of Biological Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA

*Corresponding author: Department of Herpetology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY 10024, USA. Email: fburbrink@amnh.org

Abstract

The number of reference genomes of snakes lags behind several other vertebrate groups (e.g. birds and mammals). However, in the last two years, a concerted effort by researchers from around the world has produced new genomes of snakes representing members from several new families. Here, we present a high-quality, annotated genome of the central ratsnake (*Pantherophis alleghaniensis*), a member of the most diverse snake lineage, Colubroidea. *Pantherophis alleghaniensis* is found in the central part of the Nearctic, east of the Mississippi River. This genome was sequenced using 10X Chromium synthetic long reads and polished using Illumina short reads. The final genome assembly had an N50 of 21.82 Mb and an L50 of 22 scaffolds with a maximum scaffold length of 82.078 Mb. The genome is composed of 49.24% repeat elements dominated by long interspersed elements. We annotated this genome using transcriptome assemblies from 14 tissue types and recovered 28,368 predicted proteins. Finally, we estimated admixture proportions between two species of ratsnakes and discovered that this specimen is an admixed individual containing genomes from the western (*Pantherophis obsoletus*) and central ratsnakes (*P. alleghaniensis*). We discuss the importance of considering interspecific admixture in downstream approaches for inferring demography and phylogeny.

Keywords: ratsnake, de novo genome assembly, Pantherophis alleghaniensis, Pantherophis obsoletus, admixture, hybridization

Introduction

The number of sequenced genomes of vertebrates has increased over the last decade. Hundreds of assembled genomes for birds and mammals are now available (Feng *et al.* 2020; Zoonomia Consortium 2020). However, for other vertebrate lineages, fewer genomic resources exist. Snakes are a diverse group of vertebrates with ~4,000 named species, yet genomic resources for this group lag behind most other vertebrate clades. For example, only 42 species have de novo sequenced and assembled genomes (~1% of species) across 10 families (~27% of families). Dangerously venomous snakes represent the majority of sequenced genomes (37% Viperidae; 29% Elapidae) largely due to their importance in human medicine (Kishida *et al.* 2019; Suryamohan *et al.* 2020; Almeida *et al.* 2021; Li *et al.* 2021; Margres *et al.* 2021; Myers *et al.* 2022; Zhang *et al.* 2022).

In contrast, only six species within the family Colubridae (sensu Burbrink et al. 2020), representing 785 taxa, have publicly available genomes. Four of these genomes are from the New World tribe Lampropeltini (Pyron and Burbrink 2009; Chen et al. 2017) representing the glossysnake (Arizona elegans; Wood et al. 2022), the gophersnake (Pituophis catenifer), the cornsnake (Pantherophis guttatus; Ullate-Agote et al. 2014), and the western ratsnake (Pantherophis obsoletus; Ullate-Agote and Tzika 2021).

One of the most well-studied groups in this tribe are the North American ratsnakes (P. obsoletus complex). This species complex has been used to understand behavior, ecology, conservation, phylogenetics, and speciation (Fitch 1963; Burbrink 2001; Blouin-Demers and Weatherhead 2002; Blouin-Demers et al. 2005; DeGregorio et al. 2016; Burbrink et al. 2021). It represents four closely related species (Pantherophis bairdi, Pantherophis obsoletus, Pantherophis alleghaniensis, and Pantherophis quadrivittatus) originating in the late Miocene/early Pliocene, with an initial speciation event occurring at the Mississippi River (Burbrink et al. 2021). Given the importance of this species for examining processes on speciation and historical demography, it will be useful to have an annotated genome.

Here, we present a high-quality, annotated genome of a wildcaught specimen from the *P. obsoletus* complex (Fig. 1). We estimate admixture using ultraconserved elements (UCEs) from our previous study (Burbrink *et al.* 2021) between two species in this complex that meet in this region where this sample was taken. We discuss the basic features of the genome in this species and stress the importance of considering admixture when selecting

Received: February 17, 2023. Accepted: May 04, 2023

[©] The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The Genetics Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Fig. 1. Map showing the admixture proportions between *Pantherophis* alleghaniensis and *Pantherophis obsoletus* in the lower Mississippi River. Note that the sample used for PanAll1.0 (AMNH R-17876) is enlarged with a bold border. Inset map showing the ranges of P. alleghaniensis/P. obsoletus. Photograph of P. alleghaniensis/P. obsoletus (AMNH R-17876) by FTB.

specimens to be sequenced as representative genomic resources. This assembly will serve as a reference genome for the North American ratsnakes and permit future genomic and phylogenetic comparisons among ratsnakes, colubroids, snakes, and squamates in general.

Materials and methods

Specimen collection

This sample was collected in Tangipahoa Parish, Louisiana (30.394089, –90.427580) under a log, bordering cypress-tupelo swamp with mixed marsh habitat including red maple, wax myrtle, and red bay on 2018 March 15 by the lead author with permit holder Dr. Brian Crother, Oliver Ljustina, and Zach Nikolakis. The animal was euthanized following humane animal care protocals protocols conforming to recommendations from the Society for the Study of Reptiles and Amphibians (https://ssarherps.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/guidelinesherpsresearch2004.pdf).

Blood was drawn from the caudal vein for genome sequencing, and the following tissues were sampled and flash frozen for RNA sequencing: brain, tongue, eye, heart, lung, skeletal muscle, skin, stomach, small intestine, liver, pancreas, spleen, kidney, and testes. The specimen and tissues have been accessioned at the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH R-178746). We extracted DNA from blood using the MagAttract HMW DNA Kit (QIAGEN; Hilden, Germany) and extracted RNA following the RNeasy Kit (QIAGEN; Hilden, Germany).

Sequencing and assembly

The transcriptome for each organ was prepped using Illumina TruSeq RNAseq library kit for standard (non-stranded) sequencing at GENEWIZ (South Plainfield, NJ, USA) and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000. Genome sequencing was performed at the NYGenome Center (New York, NY, USA) after Chromium 10X (10X Genomics) and TruSeq (Illumina) library preps. The assembled genome from AMNH R-178746 is referred to from here on as PanAll1.0.

High molecular weight genomic DNA fragments >30 kb were used as input into the Chromium 10X workflow. Sample indexing and partition barcoded libraries were prepared using the Chromium Genome Library and Gel Bead Kit (10X Genomics) according to manufacturer's protocols. The Chromium Controller was used to combine a library of 10X Genome Gel Beads with high molecular weight template genomic DNA (0.625 ng), a master mix of enzymes and buffer, and partitioning oil to create droplets containing single gel beads and DNA. During the process, genomic DNA was partitioned across approximately 1 million 10X gel beads-in-emulsion (GEM). The emulsion containing the GEM partitioned reactions was isothermally incubated (for 3 h at 30°C; for 10 min at 65°C; held at 4°C), and barcoded fragments ranging from a few to several hundred base pairs were generated. After amplification, the entire emulsion was collected, and GEMs were broken. Finally, the recovered barcoded DNA was size selected (450 bp) for library preparation. Illumina-specific sample indexing was added to the barcoded fragments to generate libraries according to the manufacturer's instructions. The barcode sequencing libraries were then quantified by qPCR (KAPA Biosystems Library Quantification Kit for Illumina platforms). Sequencing was conducted on the Illumina HiSeq X platform with 2 × 150 bp, paired-end reads based on the manufacturer's protocols. The resulting reads were then used as input to Supernova v2.0.1 (Weisenfeld et al. 2017), and a pseudo-haploid representation of the assembly was generated using the subcommand mkoutput.

To correct errors and fill gaps in the 10X assembly, we also generated 1 whole-genome shotgun sequencing library from AMNH R-178746 using the TruSeq DNA PCR-free library preparation kit (Illumina) following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, 1 μ g of DNA was sheared using a Covaris LE220 sonicator (Adaptive Focused Acoustics). DNA fragments underwent bead-based size selection (450 bp) and were subsequently end-repaired, adenylated, and ligated to Illumina sequencing adapters. Final libraries were quantified using the Qubit Fluorometer (Life Technologies) or Spectromax M2 (Molecular Devices) and Fragment Analyzer (Advanced Analytical) or Agilent 2100 BioAnalyzer. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq X sequencer using 2 x 150-bp cycles.

Reads from the shotgun library was screened for adapter sequences and low-quality bases (Q < 10), which were trimmed using Cutadapt 1.8.1 (Martin 2011). Following this process, readpairs that had any of the two ends shorter than 50 bp were discarded, and the remaining reads were mapped against phiX, using GEM mapper (edit distance ≤10%; Marco-Sola et al. 2012) for spike-in filtering. PCR-free reads were finally error-corrected using Lighter v1.1.1 (k = 21; Song et al. 2014). Processed PCR-free data were then used to produce the ABySS 2.0.2 (Jackman et al. 2017) shotgun assembly, exploring different k-mer sizes (37, 47, 57, 67, 77, 87, 97, 107, and 117). Flanks of decreasing size (starting at 1 kb down to 100 bp, in decrements of 100 bp) around each gap of the 10X assembly were searched in this shotgun assembly using GEM mapper. When both flanks mapped unambiguously, in the same contig and in the correct order and orientation, the sequence between the outermost mapping coordinates was extracted and used to patch the gap, giving priority to sequences originating from shotgun assembly of larger k-mer size. Remaining gaps in the 10X assembly were filled using the shotgun assembly with Compass (https://github.com/nygenome/ compass), exploring multiple k-mer sizes (37, 47, 57, 67, 77, 87, 97, 107, and 117). All 10X scaffolds shorter than 200 kb were searched against the 10X assembly, using MegaBLAST (Zhang et al. 2000). Because Supernova v2.0.1 may produce duplicated contigs/scaffolds, scaffolds that fully aligned to a larger scaffold (coverage = 100%, identity \geq 99%) were considered redundant and removed. Gene completeness of the final assembly was calculated using CEGMA 2.5 (Parra et al. 2007) with the default 248 core eukaryotic gene set and BUSCO 5.2.2 (Simão et al. 2015) with the Sauropsida gene set. We further scaffolded this assembly into pseudo-chromosomes using the Thamnophis elegans (NCBI assembly GCF_009769535.1) genome as a reference with RagTag v1.1.1 (Alonge et al. 2022) with default settings.

Genome annotation

Prior to annotation, we soft masked the genome. We used RepeatModeler v4.1.0 (Flynn *et al.* 2020) to build repeat libraries. We then used RepeatMasker v4.1.0 (Smit *et al.* 2015) to iteratively mask the genome using the repeat library we generated as well as four other repeat libraries, following an established protocol for snake genome annotation (Card *et al.* 2019). These 4 libraries are as follows: (1) Tetrapoda from Repbase (Jurka *et al.* 2005; https:// www.girinst.org/repbase/), and from Card *et al.* 2019), (2) a curated BovB/CR1 line library (file S15 in Card *et al.* 2019), (3) a library of known snake repeats (file S16 in Card *et al.* 2019), and (4) a library of unknown snake repeats (file S17 in Card *et al.* 2019) available in Figshare (https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/Data_including_genome_annotation_files_accompanying_Card_et_al_Genomic_basis_of_convergent_island_phenotypes_in_boa_const rictors/9793013).

We generated RNA-Seq data from 15 tissues and applied the following bioinformatic pipeline to each sample. We used Rcorrector 1.0.4. (Song and Florea 2015), a k-mer-based error correction method, which identifies trusted k-mers using De Bruijn graphs, to find and correct random sequencing errors. TranscriptomeAssemblyTools (https://github.com/harvardinfor matics/TranscriptomeAssemblyTools) was used to remove errors that cannot be fixed using Rcorrector. Trim Galore 0.6.7 (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) was used to remove low quality ends, adapters, and short sequences. Trinity 2.12.0 (Grabherr et al. 2011) was then used to assemble transcript sequences for each tissue and then combined for all tissues. Gene completeness was calculated on these assembled transcriptomes using BUSCO 5.2.2 (Simão et al. 2015) with the sauropsida gene set.

To annotate the genome assembly, we used Braker 2.1.16 (Lomsadze et al. 2014; Hoff et al. 2016) to produce full gene structure annotations with the combined mRNAs using the flags –softmasking –verbosity=3 –cores 16 –useexisting. We used BLAST 2.12.0+ (Camacho et al. 2009) to match the predicted proteins from Braker with sequences in the non-redundant RefSeq protein database (NR database downloaded 2022 July 7) with the following flags: -num_threads 1, -max_target_seqs 50, -outfmt 13, -evalue 1e-5. These results were imported into OmicsBox 2.2 (Götz et al. 2008) to provide gene ontology (GO) annotations using Blast2Go 2.2 within this program. We also predicted protein functions using InterProScan 5.59-91.0 (Jones et al. 2014) using the following parameters:-cpu 8, –disable-precalc, –disable-residue-annot, –formats TSV, XML,GFF3, –goterms,–iprlookup,–pathways, –seqtype p. Blast2GO and InterProScan annotations were combined in OmicsBox and exported as a GFF file. We used AGAT v1.0.0 (Dainat 2022) to estimate basic statistics including number of genes, isoforms, and average gene, intron, and coding sequence (CDS) lengths.

Admixture estimation

The sample used to generate the PanAll1.0 genome was collected east of the Mississippi River in Louisiana, which was recently discovered to be a hybrid zone between two deeply divergent species, P. obsoletus and P. alleghaniensis (Burbrink et al. 2021). Using HZAR (Derryberry et al. 2014) to estimate the width of the hybrid zone for each UCE locus, Burbrink et al. (2021) identified diagnostic loci between these two species with hybrid zone widths below 130 km. We therefore pulled 2,500 bp of sequence around UCE baits from the PanAll1.0 assembly using the Phyluce commands phyluce_probe_run_multiple_lastzs_sqlite and phyluce_probe_slice_sequence_from_genomes (Faircloth 2016). Taking the top 20 diagnostic UCEs from 136 individuals representing P. obsoletus and P. alleghaniensis from Burbrink et al. (2021), we aligned those same PanAll1.0 UCEs using muscle (Edgar 2004) and trimmed these to the length of the UCE loci from Burbrink et al. (2021). We used TESS3r 1.1.0 (Caye et al. 2016) and sparse nonnegative matrix factorization (SNMF; Frichot et al. 2014) in R (R Core Team 2010) with the function tess applying the least squares method, projected.ls, using 100 iterations to estimate groupings and ancestral admixture coefficients for AMNH R-178746.

Results and discussion

Genome assembly

The assembly of PanAll1.0 generated 65,651 scaffolds from 92,413 contigs. After gap patching with the shotgun reads and gap filling using Compass, we filled 45,559 and 26,424 gaps, respectively. After the removal of redundant contigs/scaffolds, we were left with 26,850 gaps. The final, scaffolded genome had a L50 of 22 scaffolds with an N50 of 21.818 Mb, whereas the pre-scaffolded genome had an L50 of 2,745 contigs and an N50 of 153.585 kb. The maximum scaffold length was 82.078 Mb, and maximum contig length was 1.686 Mb. We estimated that Chromium 10X and shotgun TruSeq coverage were 69.5x and 68.3x, respectively. The estimated genome size from Supernova of this genome was 1.73 Gb, which is larger than the average of the currently sequenced 42 snake genomes (X = 1.59 Mb; SD = 2.0; range 1.13-2.20 Gb; see Fig. 2). Within the genus Pantherophis, our estimate is only 19 Mb larger than the cornsnake (P. guttatus) genome and 33 Mb greater than the previously sequenced ratsnake genome (P. obsoletus; Ullate-Agote et al. 2014; Ullate-Agote and Tzika 2021). We note that the previous two species of Pantherophis sequenced were not representative of wild-caught animals. The PanAll1.0 assembly had a GC content of 40.5%, which is close to the values for P. guttatus and P. obsoletus (40.8 and 39.1, respectively) and similar to the average for all snakes (mean = 38.6; SD =6.03). This 10X assembly was successfully scaffolded to the T. elegans genome. A total of 135 Mb of sequence were not scaffolded to this chromosome length assembly, this however was expected given the estimated genome sizes of these two taxa where our P. alleghaniensis genome size was 1.73 Gb, and the T. elegans size was estimated to be 1.67 Gb. We also generated paired reads for 14 tissues, though failing to produce contigs for brain tissue (see Supplementary Table 1 for information on mean and median contig length, contig N50, and number of paired reads).



Fig. 2. Distribution of snake genome sizes from 43 whole genomes and *Pantherophis alleghaniensis/Pantherophis obsoletus* (AMNH R-17876) shown in dark red (1.73 Gb). Data for genomes collected from Castoe et al. (2011), Vonk et al. (2013), Gilbert et al. (2014), Ullate-Agote et al. (2014), Aird et al. (2017), Pasquesi et al. (2018), Shibata et al. (2018), Kishida et al. (2019), Burbrink et al. (2020), Suryamohan et al. (2020), Almeida et al. (2021), Gower et al. (2021), Köhler et al. (2021), Margres et al. (2021), Myers et al. (2022), Ullate-Agote and Tzika (2021), Grismer et al. (2022), Myers et al. (2022), Wood et al. (2022), and Zhang et al. (2022) and see https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/assembly/? term=txid8570[Organism:exp].

Genome annotation

We estimated that 49.24% of the PanAll1.0 genome represent repeat elements (Fig. 3). This is within the known range of repeats for squamates (25–73%; Pasquesi *et al.* 2018). Previous estimates of repeat elements found similar values in *P. obsoletus* (45.18%) and *P. guttatus* (39.1%; Ullate-Agote *et al.* 2014; Ullate-Agote and Tzika 2021). We found a large diversity of repeat elements in PanAll1.0 characteristic of squamates (Pasquesi *et al.* 2018). These repeats are dominated by long interspersed elements (LINEs; 19.63%) followed by long terminal repeat elements (LTR; 3.73%) and short interspersed nuclear elements (74%), LTR elements by Gyspsy/DIRS1 (63%), and transposons by Hobo-Activator (71%).

Using the Sauropsida BUSCO data set, we found that the genome assembly is relatively complete (90.6%) and for CEGMA was 95.16%. From our transcriptome data sets, complete BUSCO scores ranged from 26.1% in the lung, up to 77.3% in the eye. Combining all tissue mRNAs, we found a high BUSCO score of 92.8% (Fig. 4).

We annotated the PanAll1.0 genome assembly using the combined mRNA data set. From this, AGAT calculated that Braker predicted 42,480 genes and 47,657 mRNAs (5,177 isoforms) of which 28,448 have functional annotations. We note that this was an excessively high number of predicted proteins. Many annotations from BRAKER were therefore likely spurious. We used the results of the functional annotation steps to perform quality control on the BRAKER results. We only considered predicted proteins that had BLAST or InterPro hits but also used an Annotated or Mapped status from Blast2Go/OmicsBox. We generated a BED file with the coordinates of those transcripts, then used BEDTOOLS to filter the BRAKER GTF file so only the annotations that passed this QC are included. Our final gene and annotation data set include 28,368 predicted transcripts/proteins, which is similar to previous estimates within this genus (24,107 for P. obsoletus and 24,258 for P. guttatus; Ullate-Agote et al. 2014; Ullate-Agote and Tzika 2021).

We estimated the following statistics across the genome (without isoforms in parentheses): The average gene length was 22,164 bp (22,164 bp), average mRNA length was 26,430 bp (22,099 bp), mean CDS length was 1,550 bp (1,396 bp), average exon length was 168 bp (174 bp), mean exons per CDS was 9.4 (8.2), mean introns per CDS was 8.2 (7.2), and mean intron length was 2,975 bp (2,903 bp).

Admixture

Using the top 20 diagnostic UCE loci, we identified that AMNH R-178746 is indeed an admixed individual with estimated 59% *P. obsoletus* and 41% *P. alleghaniens* is ancestral coefficients. The sampling location of this specimen is in the general area of the hybrid zone where other individuals with similar admixture proportions are located (Fig. 1). We note that none of the UCEs in AMNH R-178746 contain heterozygous sites, and similarly 38% of samples from Louisiana also presented no heterozygous sites for the diagnostic loci. This suggests that while this population may be formed from the hybridization of two species, many generations of drift may have eliminated heterozygosity within these loci (Fitzpatrick 2012). Therefore, it is not likely that AMNH R-178746 is a recent hybrid (F1 or F2).

Although it is uncommon to report admixture proportions in de novo assembled genome papers, we believe that it is relevant particularly when estimating population-level processes or phylogenetic relationships. For example, methods that can use a single genome to generate estimates of effective population size through time such as Pairwise Sequential Markovian Coalescent (PSMC; Li and Durbin 2011) are important for understanding the demographic history of organisms across time periods that span major changes in the environment (Dyson et al. 2022). However, these methods assume that individual genomes are sampled from a panmictic population. These assumptions are potentially violated given varying degrees of admixture, particularly among organisms that diverged thousands of generations ago. Discoveries of admixture and introgression are becoming common with genome-scale data and have revealed that gene flow is quite common between animal species (Rundle and Nosil 2005; Harrison and Larson 2014; Mallet et al. 2016; Ottenburghs 2020; Burbrink and Ruane 2021; Myers 2021). In cases where population genetic or phylogeographic data exist or can be generated for the taxon of interest to produce locations of hybrid zones, researchers are then encouraged to sample individuals away from zones of contact where admixture is expected to be high. We also suggest that basic inferences of admixture be reported with genome assemblies when possible, particularly where samples are taken on the edge of ranges between closely related species or when deep phylogeographic structure is known to exist.

General findings

Here, we present an annotated draft genome of the western and central ratsnake (P. obsoletus/P. alleghaniensis) predicting 28,368 genes (including isoforms) using mRNA sequences from 14 tissue types. Of these, 24,274 (85.6%) have known functional



Fig. 3. Overview proportion of the genome representing repeat elements and types of repeat elements, with details for LINEs, LTR elements, and transposons included. Photograph of Pantherophis alleghaniensis/Pantherophis obsoletus (AMNH R-17876) by FTB.



Fig. 4. Percentage of sauropsida BUSCO loci found for each tissue type, combined tissues, and the 10X Genome. The Cegma loci percentages for the 10X genome are also included.

descriptions, and PanAll1.0 therefore provides a useful reference genome for colubroid snakes.

We also demonstrate the amount of admixture between closely related species present in a draft genome sequence. Here, the deepest divergence within the North American ratsnake complex occurs in the Mississippi River. This divergence between P. obsoletus and P. alleghaniensis occurred ~3.1 MYA. Just east of the Mississippi River, there is now a known hybrid zone between P. obsoletus and P. alleghaniensis (Burbrink et al. 2021). Our estimates using UCEs with a previous data set predict that the sequenced individual (assembly PanAll1.0) likely represents admixture between P. obsoletus and P. alleghaniensis occurring many generations ago. This study

highlights the importance for examining admixture in reference genomes, given how widespread introgression is at hybrid zones and even among anciently diverged taxa (Harrison and Larson 2014; Barth *et al.* 2020; Burbrink and Ruane 2021; Myers 2021).

We underscore that using downstream analyses to investigate population demography in a coalescent framework using single genomes may produce biased results when assuming a sample originated from a panmictic population (Kingman 1982; Wakeley 2008; Mather *et al.* 2020). Therefore, as more genomes are sequenced for population or phylogeographic studies, researchers should leverage these samples to examine the degree and location of admixture in their target genomes to better understand speciation processes related to introgression. Future studies using this reference genome (PanAll1.0), combined with additional genomic and morphological data, will further help define the shapes of hybrid zones and understand which genes were key for initial divergence and maintenance of species boundaries between these taxa.

Data availability

The following data are available as follows: (1) Raw Chromium 10X, TruSeq, and RNA-Seq data are hosted on the NCBI SRA: SAMN32907824 and PRJNA927268, (2) assembled and scaffolded Chromium 10X and TruSeq fasta are available on NCBI, JAQZSL000000000, and BioProject accession number PRJNA926953, and (3) GFT files, Chromium 10X and TruSeq data (unscaffolded and scaffolded), transcriptome data, and diagnostic UCEs are available on Figshare: 10.6084/m9.figshare.21947927.

Supplemental material available at G3 online .

Acknowledgments

We thank Brian Crother, Oliver Ljustina, and Zach Nikolakis for help with field work and permits in Louisiana. We are grateful to the Institute of Comparative Genomics at the American Museum of Natural History for providing lab support, Margaret Arnold, David Kizirian, Lauren Vonnahme, and David Dickey for collection support in the Department of Herpetology, Sajesh Singh for help with the cluster, and Maggs X for extracting RNA from tissues.

Funding

This research was supported in part from an National Science Foundation grant to FTB: Dimensions USBIOTA 1831241. SMH was supported by an Institutional Development Award (IDeA) from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institute of Health (grant no. 2P20GM103432) and by a Richard Gilder Graduate School Theodore Roosevelt Postdoctoral Fellowship at the AMNH.

Conflicts of interest

The author(s) declare no conflict of interest.

Literature cited

Aird SD, Arora J, Barua A, Qiu L, Terada K, Mikheyev AS. Population genomic analysis of a pitviper reveals microevolutionary forces underlying venom chemistry. Genome Biol Evol. 2017;9(10): 2640–2649. doi:10.1093/gbe/evx199.

- Almeida DD, Viala VL, Nachtigall PG, Broe M, Gibbs HL, Serrano SMT, Moura-da-Silva AM, Ho PL, Nishiyama MY, Jr, Junqueirade-Azevedo ILM. Tracking the recruitment and evolution of snake toxins using the evolutionary context provided by the Bothrops jararaca genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(20): e2015159118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2015159118.
- Alonge M, Lebeigle L, Kirsche M, Jenike K, Ou S, Aganezov S, Wang X, Lippman ZB, Schatz MC, Soyk S. Automated assembly scaffolding using RagTag elevates a new tomato system for high-throughput genome editing. Genome Biol. 2022;23(1):258. doi:10.1186/s13059-022-02823-7.
- Barth JMI, Gubili C, Matschiner M, Tørresen OK, Watanabe S, Egger B, Han Y-S, Feunteun E, Sommaruga R, Jehle R, et al. Stable species boundaries despite ten million years of hybridization in tropical eels. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):1433. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-15099-x.
- Blouin-Demers G, Gibbs HL, Weatherhead PJ. Genetic evidence for sexual selection in black ratsnakes, *Elaphe obsoleta*. Anim Behav. 2005;69(1):225–234. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2004.03.012.
- Blouin-Demers G, Weatherhead PJ. Habitat-specific behavioural thermoregulation by black rat snakes (Elaphe obsoleta obsoleta). Oikos. 2002;97(1):59–68. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.970106.x.
- Burbrink FT. Systematics of the eastern ratsnake complex (Elaphe obsoleta). Herpetol Monogr. 2001;15(2001):1–53. doi:10.2307/ 1467037.
- Burbrink FT, Gehara M, McKelvy AD, Myers EA. Resolving spatial complexities of hybridization in the context of the gray zone of speciation in North American ratsnakes (*Pantherophis obsoletus* complex). Evolution. 2021;75(2):260–277. doi:10.1111/evo.14141.
- Burbrink FT, Grazziotin FG, Pyron RA, Cundall D, Donnellan S, Irish F, Keogh JS, Kraus F, Murphy RW, Noonan B, et al. Interrogating genomic-scale data for squamata (lizards, snakes, and amphisbaenians) shows no support for key traditional morphological relationships. Syst Biol. 2020;69(3):502–520. doi:10.1093/sysbio/ syz062.
- Burbrink FT, Ruane S. Contemporary philosophy and methods for studying speciation and delimiting species. Ichthyol Herpetol. 2021;109(3):874–894. doi:10.1643/h2020073.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10(1):421. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.
- Card DC, Adams RH, Schield DR, Perry BW, Corbin AB, Pasquesi GIM, Row K, Van Kleeck MJ, Daza JM, Booth W, et al. Genomic basis of convergent island phenotypes in boa constrictors. Genome Biol Evol. 2019;11(11):3123–3143. doi:10.1093/gbe/evz226.
- Castoe TA, de Koning JAP, Hall KT, Yokoyama KD, Gu W, Smith EN, Feschotte C, Uetz P, Ray DA, Dobry J, et al. Sequencing the genome of the Burmese python (*Python molurus bivittatus*) as a model for studying extreme adaptations in snakes. Genome Biol. 2011; 12(7):406. doi:10.1186/gb-2011-12-7-406.
- Caye K, Deist TM, Martins H, Michel O, François O. TESS3: fast inference of spatial population structure and genome scans for selection. Mol Ecol Resour. 2016;16(2):540–548. doi:10.1111/1755-0998. 12471.
- Chen X, Lemmon AR, Lemmon EM, Pyron RA, Burbrink FT. Using phylogenomics to understand the link between biogeographic origins and regional diversification in ratsnakes. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2017;111(June):206–218. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2017.03.017.
- Dainat J. AGAT: Another Gff Analysis Toolkit to handle annotations in any GTF/GFF format. Version v0.8.0. Zenodo; 2022. doi:10. 5281/zenodo.3552717.
- DeGregorio BA, Weatherhead PJ, Ward MP, Sperry JH. Do seasonal patterns of rat snake (Pantherophis obsoletus) and black racer

(Coluber constrictor) activity predict avian nest predation? Ecol Evol. 2016;6(7):2034–2043. doi:10.1002/ece3.1992.

- Derryberry EP, Derryberry GE, Maley JM, Brumfield RT. HZAR: hybrid zone analysis using an R software package. Mol Ecol Resour. 2014; 14(3):652–663. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12209.
- Dyson CJ, Pfennig A, Ariano-Sánchez D, Lachance J, Mendelson JR, III, Goodisman MAD. Genome of the endangered Guatemalan beaded lizard, *Heloderma charlesbogerti*, reveals evolutionary relationships of squamates and declines in effective population sizes. G3 (Bethesda). 2022;12(12):jkac276. doi:10.1093/g3journal/jkac276.
- Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32(5):1792–1797. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340.
- Faircloth BC. PHYLUCE is a software package for the analysis of conserved genomic loci. Bioinformatics. 2016;32(5):786–788. doi:10. 1093/bioinformatics/btv646.
- Feng S, Stiller J, Deng Y, Armstrong J, Fang Q, Reeve AH, Xie D, Chen G, Guo C, Faircloth BC, et al. Dense sampling of bird diversity increases power of comparative genomics. Nature. 2020; 587(7833):252–257. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2873-9.
- Fitch HS. Natural history of the black rat snake (Elaphe o. obsoleta) in Kansas. Copeia. 1963;1963(4):649–658. doi:10.2307/1440967.
- Fitzpatrick BM. Estimating ancestry and heterozygosity of hybrids using molecular markers. BMC Evol Biol. 2012;12(1):131. doi:10. 1186/1471-2148-12-131.
- Flynn JM, Hubley R, Goubert C, Rosen J, Clark AG, Feschotte C, Smit AF. Repeatmodeler2 for automated genomic discovery of transposable element families. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020; 117(17):9451–9457. doi:10.1073/pnas.1921046117.
- Frichot E, Mathieu F, Trouillon T, Bouchard G, François O. Fast and efficient estimation of individual ancestry coefficients. Genetics. 2014;196(4):973–983. doi:10.1534/genetics.113.160572.
- Gilbert C, Meik JM, Dashevsky D, Card DC, Castoe TA, Schaack S. Endogenous hepadnaviruses, bornaviruses and circoviruses in snakes. Proc Biol Sci. 2014;281(1791):20141122. doi:10.1098/rspb. 2014.1122.
- Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Williams TD, Nagaraj SH, Nueda MJ, Robles M, Talon M, Dopazo J, Conesa A. High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36(10):3420–3435. doi:10.1093/nar/ gkn176.
- Gower DJ, Fleming JF, Pisani D, Vonk FJ, Kerkkamp HMI, Peichl L, Meimann S, Casewell NR, Henkel CV, Richardson MK, et al. Eye-transcriptome and genome-wide sequencing for Scolecophidia: implications for inferring the visual system of the ancestral snake. Genome Biol Evol. 2021;13(12):evab253. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evab253.
- Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L, Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q, *et al.* Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29(7):644–652. doi:10.1038/nbt. 1883.
- Grismer JL, Escalona M, Miller C, Beraut E, Fairbairn CW, Marimuthu MPA, Nguyen O, Toffelmier E, Wang JJ, Shaffer HB. Reference genome of the rubber boa, *Charina bottae* (Serpentes: Boidae). J Hered. 2022;113(6):641–648. doi:10.1093/jhered/esac048.
- Harrison RG, Larson EL. Hybridization, introgression, and the nature of species boundaries. J Hered. 2014;105(S1):795–809. doi:10.1093/ jhered/esu033.
- Hoff KJ, Lange S, Lomsadze A, Borodovsky M, Stanke M. BRAKER1: unsupervised RNA-Seq-based genome annotation with GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS: table 1. Bioinformatics. 2016; 32(5):767–769. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv661.

- Jackman SD, Vandervalk BP, Mohamadi H, Chu J, Yeo S, Hammond SA, Jahesh G, Khan H, Coombe L, Warren RL, *et al.* ABySS 2.0: resource-efficient assembly of large genomes using a bloom filter. Genome Res. 2017;27(5):768–777. doi:10.1101/gr.214346.116.
- Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G, et al. Interproscan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(9):1236–1240. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031.
- Jurka J, Kapitonov VV, Pavlicek A, Klonowski P, Kohany O, Walichiewicz J. Repbase update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2005;110(1–4):462–467. doi:10.1159/000084979.
- Kingman JFC. On the genealogy of large populations. J Appl Probab. 1982;19(A):27–43. doi:10.2307/3213548.
- Kishida T, Go Y, Tatsumoto S, Tatsumi K, Kuraku S, Toda M. Loss of olfaction in sea snakes provides new perspectives on the aquatic adaptation of amniotes. Proc Biol Sci. 2019;286(1910):20191828. doi:10.1098/rspb.2019.1828.
- Köhler G, Khaing KPP, Than NL, Baranski D, Schell T, Greve C, Janke A, Pauls SU. A new genus and species of mud snake from Myanmar (Reptilia, Squamata. Homalopsidae). Zootaxa. 2021; 4915(3):zootaxa.4915.3.1. doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4915.3.1.
- Li A, Wang J, Sun K, Wang S, Zhao X, Wang T, Xiong L, Xu W, Qiu L, Shang Y, *et al*. Two reference-quality sea snake genomes reveal their divergent evolution of adaptive traits and venom systems. Mol Biol Evol. 2021;38(11):4867–4883. doi:10.1093/molbev/msab212.
- Li H, Durbin R. Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome sequences. Nature. 2011;475(7357):493–496. doi:10.1038/nature10231.
- Lomsadze A, Burns PD, Borodovsky M. Integration of mapped RNA-Seq reads into automatic training of eukaryotic gene finding algorithm. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(15):e119. doi:10.1093/nar/gku557.
- Mallet J, Besansky N, Hahn MW. How reticulated are species? Bioessays. 2016;38(2):140–149. doi:10.1002/bies.201500149.
- Marco-Sola S, Sammeth M, Guigó R, Ribeca P. The GEM mapper: fast, accurate and versatile alignment by filtration. Nat Methods. 2012; 9(12):1185–1188. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2221.
- Margres MJ, Rautsaw RM, Strickland JL, Mason AJ, Schramer TD, Hofmann EP, Stiers E, Ellsworth SA, Nystrom GS, Hogan MP, et al. The tiger rattlesnake genome reveals a complex genotype underlying a simple venom phenotype. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(4):e2014634118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2014634118.
- Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet J. 2011;17(1):10–12. doi: 10.14806/ej.17.1.200.
- Mather N, Traves SM, Ho SYW. A practical introduction to sequentially Markovian coalescent methods for estimating demographic history from genomic data. Ecol Evol. 2020;10(1):579–589. doi:10. 1002/ece3.5888.
- Myers EA. Genome-wide data reveal extensive gene flow during the diversification of the western rattlesnakes (Viperidae: Crotalinae: Crotalus). Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2021;165(December): 107313. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2021.107313.
- Myers EA, Strickland JL, Rautsaw RM, Mason AJ, Schramer TD, Nystrom GS, Hogan MP, Yooseph S, Rokyta DR, Parkinson CL. De novo genome assembly highlights the role of lineage-specific gene duplications in the evolution of venom in Fea's viper (Azemiops feae). Genome Biol Evol. 2022;14(7):evac082. doi:10.1093/gbe/evac082.
- Ottenburghs J. Ghost introgression: spooky gene flow in the distant past. Bioessays. 2020;42(6):e2000012. doi:10.1002/bies.202000012.
- Parra G, Bradnam K, Korf I. CEGMA: a pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in eukaryotic genomes. Bioinformatics. 2007;23(9): 1061–1067. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btm071.

- Pasquesi GIM, Adams RH, Card DC, Schield DR, Corbin AB, Perry BW, Reyes-Velasco J, Ruggiero RP, Vandewege MW, Shortt JA, *et al.* Squamate reptiles challenge paradigms of genomic repeat element evolution set by birds and mammals. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1): 2774. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-05279-1.
- Pyron RA, Burbrink FT. Neogene diversification and taxonomic stability in the snake tribe Lampropeltini (Serpentes: Colubridae). Mol Phylogenet Evol. 2009;52(2):524–529. doi:10.1016/j.ympev. 2009.02.008.
- R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing: Reference Index. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2010.
- Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, Damas J, Formenti G, Koren S, Uliano-Silva M, Chow W, Fungtammasan A, Kim J, et al. Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. Nature. 2021;592(7856):737–746. doi:10.1038/ s41586-021-03451-0.
- Rundle HD, Nosil P. Ecological speciation. Ecol Lett. 2005;8(3): 336–352. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00715.x.
- Shibata H, Chijiwa T, Oda-Ueda N, Nakamura H, Yamaguchi K, Hattori S, Matsubara K, Matsuda Y, Yamashita A, Isomoto A, et al. The habu genome reveals accelerated evolution of venom protein genes. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):11300. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-28749-4.
- Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(19): 3210–3212. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351.

Smit, A. F. A., R. Hubley, and P. Green, 2015 RepeatMasker Open-4.0.

- Song L, Florea L. Rcorrector: efficient and accurate error correction for Illumina RNA-seq reads. GigaScience. 2015;4(1):48. doi:10. 1186/s13742-015-0089-y.
- Song L, Florea L, Langmead B. Lighter: fast and memory-efficient sequencing error correction without counting. Genome Biol. 2014; 15(11):509. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0509-9.
- Suryamohan K, Krishnankutty SP, Guillory J, Jevit M, Schröder MS, Wu M, Kuriakose B, Mathew OK, Perumal RC, Koludarov I, et al.

The Indian cobra reference genome and transcriptome enables comprehensive identification of venom toxins. Nat Genet. 2020; 52(1):106–117. doi:10.1038/s41588-019-0559-8.

- Ullate-Agote A, Milinkovitch MC, Tzika AC. The genome sequence of the corn snake (*Pantherophis guttatus*), a valuable resource for EvoDevo studies in squamates. Int J Dev Biol. 2014;58(10–12): 881–888. doi:10.1387/ijdb.150060at.
- Ullate-Agote A, Tzika AC. Characterization of the leucistic Texas rat snake *Pantherophis obsoletus*. Front Ecol Evol. 2021;9:58136. doi:10. 3389/fevo.2021.583136.
- Vonk FJ, Casewell NR, Henkel CV, Heimberg AM, Jansen HJ, McCleary RJR, Kerkkamp HME, Vos RA, Guerreiro I, Calvete JJ, et al. The king cobra genome reveals dynamic gene evolution and adaptation in the snake venom system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(51): 20651–20656. doi:10.1073/pnas.1314702110.
- Wakeley J. Coalescent Theory: An Introduction. Greenwood Village: Roberts & Company Publishers; 2008.
- Weisenfeld NI, Kumar V, Shah P, Church DM, Jaffe DB. Direct determination of diploid genome sequences. Genome Res. 2017;27(5): 757–767. doi:10.1101/gr.214874.116.
- Wood DA, Richmond JQ, Escalona M, Marimuthu MPA, Nguyen O, Sacco S, Beraut E, Westphal M, Fisher RN, Vandergast AG, et al. Reference genome of the California glossy snake, Arizona elegans occidentalis, a declining California species of special concern. J Hered. 2022;113(6):632–640. doi:10.1093/jhered/esac040.
- Zhang Z, Schwartz S, Wagner L, Miller W. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA sequences. J Comput Biol. 2000;7(1–2):203–214. doi:10.1089/10665270050081478.
- Zhang Z-Y, Lv Y, Wu W, Yan C, Tang C-Y, Peng C, Li J-T. The structural and functional divergence of a neglected three-finger toxin subfamily in lethal elapids. Cell Rep. 2022;40(2):111079. doi:10. 1016/j.celrep.2022.111079.
- Zoonomia Consortium. A comparative genomics multitool for scientific discovery and conservation. Nature. 2020;587(7833):240–245. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2876-6.

Editor: R. Mallarino